

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/629,466	PALANI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Celia Chang	1625	

All Participants:

(1) Celia Chang.

(2) Krishna G. Banejee.

Date of Interview: 18 March 2008

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103(a)

Claims discussed:

21

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The scope commensurate with the unexpected result should be incorporated in the claims. An examiner's amendment limiting R2 to benzyl and 4-fluorobenzyl for which antecedent basis was found in example 2, was authorized.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Celia Chang/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)